

Exhibit 6

201952cant c

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

3 CAN'T STOP PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

17 Civ. 6513 (CS)

6 CONFERENCE

7 SIXUVUS, LTD., et al.,

8 Defendants,

9 v.

10 KAREN WILLIS, doing business as
11 Harlem West Entertainment,

12 Intervenor.

13 -----x

14
15
16
17 United States Courthouse
18 White Plains, N.Y.
19 May 2, 2019
20 2:35 p.m.

21 Before: THE HONORABLE CATHY SEIBEL,

22 District Judge

APPEARANCES

EISENBERG, TANCHUM & LEVY
Attorneys for Plaintiff
STEWART L. LEVY

ADELMAN, MATZ, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
GARY PHILIP ADELMAN
SARAH MICHAL MATZ

KAREN WILLIS, Pro Se Intervenor (via telephone)

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: The Honorable Cathy Seibel
2 presiding.

3 Can't Stop Productions v. Sixuvus.

4 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

5 Ms. Willis, can you hear me?

6 MS. WILLIS: I can, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Very good.

8 Mr. Levy, good afternoon

9 MR. LEVY: Good afternoon.

10 THE COURT: And Ms. Matz and Mr. Adelman.

11 Everyone can have a seat

12 MR. ADELMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Don't take this the wrong way, but I'm
14 not that psyched to see you again. But here we are.

15 I've got letters. I have the joint letter from
16 Mr. Levy and Ms. Matz, which is dated the 29th. I have
17 Ms. Willis' response to that letter, also dated the 29th. I
18 have Ms. Matz' response to that dated the 30th as well as
19 Ms. Willis' April 24th application to file an amended
20 intervenor complaint.

21 There's a few moving parts here.

22 MR. LEVY: Your Honor, I have an update that might be
23 relevant before you get into it.

24 THE COURT: Go right ahead.

25 MR. LEVY: We've reached a -- Can't Stop and Sixuvus

201952cantc

1 have reached, about two hours ago, a tentative settlement with
2 the two parties. It doesn't bind Ms. Willis. We reached
3 agreement on all the material terms. I just got approval from
4 my client about 30 minutes ago. He's in Paris. And he
5 instructed me to say, look, we agree to the settlement, it's
6 pretty simple, but it's tentative until it's in writing because
7 the last time we tried putting it in writing, everything
8 collapsed.

9 THE COURT: That was going to be my first question.
10 Are you contemplating a formal writing before you intend to be
11 bound?

12 MR. LEVY: Yes. But what we did is, learning from
13 our past mistakes, or my past mistakes, I'll take blame for it,
14 we're going to keep it simple. I think the last time we tried
15 it, we were in the weeds too much, so we're going to keep it
16 simple. I think we've agreed on all material terms.

17 Again, I know this is a jury trial and the Court
18 could have more discretion on settlement talks, but I think, at
19 this point, we probably shouldn't divulge anything yet until we
20 get it in writing.

21 The one thing that I think we should say, it does
22 take from the last settlement Magistrate Judge Smith's offer to
23 have continuing jurisdiction, continuing jurisdiction between
24 Can't Stop and Sixuvus, and then Ms. Willis is free to, as a
25 licensee, do whatever she thinks is appropriate.

1 THE COURT: I am sure Judge Smith would be glad to
2 maintain jurisdiction. She's always very helpful to me, and
3 that would be helpful to me.

4 Assuming that you paper the agreement, then the only
5 dispute left will be between Ms. Willis and the defendants. Am
6 I right?

7 MR. LEVY: Well, there's nothing to intervene in. We
8 brought the lawsuit against Sixuvus. The settlement calls for
9 the case and the counterclaim to be dismissed. So I'm not
10 quite sure what there is to intervene in.

11 MS. WILLIS: Well, your Honor, your Honor, may I?

12 Well, I'll let you finish. Go on.

13 THE COURT: Were you going to say more, Mr. Levy?

14 MR. LEVY: I would think, if Ms. Willis wants, it's a
15 separate lawsuit, but I don't think there's anything to
16 intervene in.

17 THE COURT: Well, I've provisionally permitted
18 intervention. It may well have to be a separate lawsuit. I
19 don't know how that would work. If I remember, and you'll
20 correct me if I'm wrong -- I think this was actually in your
21 letter, but I'm not clear if Ms. Willis had made a formal
22 motion to intervene and we had just postponed the opposition or
23 whether that motion had not been made. And I will confess I
24 didn't go back through this now gigantic docket sheet to find
25 it.

1 MS. MATZ: Your Honor.

2 MS. WILLIS: I can answer that, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Go ahead.

4 MS. WILLIS: I actually made a formal motion to
5 intervene, and I also attached the actual complaint. And so
6 your Honor approved it provisionally, yes. So I've been in the
7 case since that time.

8 Your Honor, this is sort of -- today this is catching
9 me a bit off guard. However, I will let the Court know that I
10 am in constant contact with the Belolos in France. And
11 Jonathan Belolo and I did have a conversation --

12 THE COURT: Ms. Willis, I'm sorry to interrupt you,
13 but the court reporter is having a hard time with the names on
14 the family and friends. Belolo?

15 MS. WILLIS: Belolo, B-E-L-O-L-O.

16 THE COURT: Belolo.

17 MS. WILLIS: I'm sorry. I'll try to --

18 THE COURT: Belolo.

19 MS. WILLIS: Yes, in France.

20 And so Jonathan and I have, in fact, discussed a
21 possible -- the idea of a possible settlement with the Sixuvus.
22 However, I was unaware that they had actually, you know, may
23 have come to terms in some respect. And, of course, Jonathan
24 promised that he would share that with me. And so it's not
25 been shared with me yet, and so it's catching me a bit off

1 guard.

2 Your Honor, I am open to this, so I think that we may
3 have to sort of break and let me see what's going on so that I
4 can get caught up, because the idea would be that if I, in
5 fact, agree with the terms and I feel that those terms are not
6 going to harm me as a licensee, there's no reason why I would
7 not, you know, go along with it and we would dismiss
8 everything. But I need to know what's happening.

9 THE COURT: Well, I gather from what Mr. Levy said
10 that this just came together in the last hour or two.

11 MR. LEVY: That's correct.

12 THE COURT: Is there any reason, Mr. Levy or
13 Ms. Matz, why the terms wouldn't be something you would want to
14 share with Ms. Willis?

15 MR. LEVY: We will share it with -- Jonathan Belolo
16 has asked -- conferring with Ms. Willis, Jonathan Belolo has
17 spoken, apparently, to Ms. Willis and said we're going to make
18 a separate settlement, but then, out of courtesy to her, when
19 we thought the settlement would be -- she wouldn't have a
20 problem with, we're going to settle -- we want to settle it
21 regardless, but then, as a courtesy to her, once it's papered
22 and it's there, we'll show it to her as a courtesy. So, yes,
23 he promised he would show it to her.

24 MS. WILLIS: Well, the problem with that is, for
25 example, we -- right now, it seems like they're trying to

1 change things in the middle of this.

2 The reason why we did not reach a settlement prior,
3 your Honor, in this case -- and they could not dismiss the case
4 unilaterally without me because I am a provisional Intervenor
5 and until that is somehow disposed of, they can't make this
6 settlement independent of me, either.

7 However, having said that, your Honor, I'm excited to
8 hear that maybe there has been some terms here that have been
9 agreed to, and I can't wait to discover what they are, and
10 hopefully I can go along with it.

11 THE COURT: So let me go back to the question I
12 asked. Can somebody point me to where the motion to intervene
13 was made.

14 MS. MATZ: Yes, your Honor, I actually can if you
15 would like me to.

16 THE COURT: Please.

17 MS. MATZ: I believe -- and Ms. Willis can correct me
18 if I'm wrong, but I believe it's document number 110 that was
19 filed on February 12th of 2018. I'm looking at a document
20 called motion to intervene and TRO and motion to vacate.

21 THE COURT: And then it looks like --

22 MS. MATZ: Actually, I'm sorry. It was originally
23 presented to the Court in December, but this was the document
24 that was not actually docketed on ECF until February.

25 THE COURT: And it looks like, in February, I, on the

1 13th, did something. Let me see what I did.

2 (Pause)

3 THE COURT: Oh, yes. So this is what it says. On
4 February 12th, at the Court's direction, Intervenor filed on
5 the ECF system certain documents that her then counsel had
6 previously conveyed to chambers.

7 Hold on. This is actually not what I thought it was.
8 Hold on.

9 (Pause)

10 THE COURT: It says the motion to intervene and
11 vacate or modify the TRO dated December 7th was granted in part
12 and denied in part from the bench on December 8th. So let me
13 go back and see what we wrote on December 8th.

14 (Pause)

15 THE COURT: That's where I said Ms. Willis is
16 temporarily allowed to intervene.

17 It seems to me, without having done the research,
18 that there was a timely motion to intervene. My memory, and I
19 could be wrong on this, is that we postponed briefing on it
20 because we were having the PI hearing and then it looked like
21 you were going to settle and then we had all the litigation on
22 the settlement.

23 I do think, at this stage of the game, it strikes me
24 as a little bit unfair for the plaintiff and the defendants to
25 take advantage of the fact that, essentially, I punted on the

Intervenor motion to settle this case out from under the proposed Intervenor. So I'm not sure I would -- I'm not sure, if it's a settlement that I have to approve, that I would approve it before deciding the motion to intervene.

And the dispute between Ms. Willis and the defendants is going to live one way or another. Even if I end up approving the settlement and that ends up mooted Ms. Willis' attempt to intervene, there's either going to be another lawsuit or something.

And I'm not a Pollyanna here. I don't expect that, just because Can't Stop and Sixxus have come to an agreement, that that means Ms. Willis will be satisfied with it, but it may be that it's an important first step.

MS. WILLIS: I agree with that, your Honor. I would agree with that. And again, I want to make it clear to the Court that I am very excited to hear that possibly there has been some change. And I'm sure, when I get off the line, I will talk to Jonathan Belolo right away and maybe even confer with counsel, because counsel here won't get off, when he's done, to see what's going on. I intend -- as long as it's something that is not going to harm me, and there's no reason why I wouldn't agree.

However, the problem we have, your Honor, is that they seem to consistently attempt to come up with an agreement outside of me, and that's why we're here now. I am an

Intervenor, and until we actually brief the issue and the Court rules that I'm no longer, you know, that's when they can make a unilateral settlement without me, and until then, I mean, until the briefing is done, how can they even attempt to do this?

But having said that, your Honor, I intend to go along with it if it's something that I can live with, yes.

MS. MATZ: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Matz.

MS. MATZ: If I could be heard on the issue just for a moment.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. MATZ: I respectfully somewhat disagree.

First of all, any parties in a lawsuit are free to settle their own claims against one another, and not everybody has to be involved, regardless of Ms. Willis' Intervenor status. But, also, if you think back to the context, and I don't have the transcript with me, but I do recall when we had the argument on the intervention and you allowed it to happen provisionally, one of the reasons you did that was because the Sixuvus defendants had asserted counterclaims and were bringing a preliminary injunction that challenged the validity of all of the marks, including the mark that Ms. Willis has an exclusive license to. And if I recall in somewhat sum and substance, what your Honor had said was that the outcome of that, if we successfully challenged the marks and our naked licensing

claims and those, that that would interfere with her license and that that was part of the reason you were considering letting her provisionally intervene. And there were other questions about whether or not she would be an Intervenor as of right or permissively or even be allowed to intervene permanently. But if those claims no longer existed, I'm not sure what the -- I'm not sure that the interests would be the same whatsoever.

THE COURT: You may be right. It may be -- and again, this has been going on for so long and there's so much water under the bridge, I really don't remember the specifics, but what you're saying rings a bell and that sounds right.

It may be that the reasons that I thought permissive intervention made sense at that stage no longer apply. If I remember, the Sixxvus defendants were concerned as to whether the intervention would be as of right or permissive because I forget why. It was going to make some difference down the road, conceivably. And I think, at the time, I said I would at least permissively permit it given what the status was and we can fight out later whether it was as of right or not.

And it may be that the main reason I thought it made sense for Ms. Willis to intervene was to join Can't Stop in protecting the marks, and if there's no threat to the marks, then maybe it doesn't make sense for Ms. Willis to intervene. On the other hand, maybe it does because she's claiming that

201952cantc

1 there's been infringement by the defendants of her exclusive
2 right to use those marks during the pendency of this
3 litigation.

4 I'm not prejudging it one way or the other. I just,
5 as I'm sitting here, don't have the benefit of what I'm sure
6 will be briefing from both sides about what makes sense at this
7 stage of the game. It may be that, back then, the reason I
8 thought intervention, at least provisionally, made sense was so
9 that Ms. Willis could protect the marks, but maybe now it makes
10 sense for another reason.

11 MR. LEVY: Your Honor --

12 MS. WILLIS: Well, your Honor, I agree with that. I
13 absolutely -- in any event, briefing will be required, so they
14 can't escape the fact that they're going to have to brief it.
15 They can't just dump this on the Court today for a --

16 THE COURT: Ms. Willis, sorry to interrupt you again,
17 but the court reporter is having a very hard time making out
18 what you're saying.

19 MS. WILLIS: Oh. I'm sorry.

20 THE COURT: I heard up to they can't just dump this.

21 MS. WILLIS: Oh, okay. I'll start again.

22 Your Honor, whether they like it or not, I'm an
23 Intervenor at this time, and they can't settle this without me.
24 However, if they're able to successfully argue and brief here
25 with my opposition and responses and all that and the Court

201952cantc

1 decides that, okay, fine, there's no -- it's not necessary to
2 intervene, that's down the road. We're talking today. And so
3 I think, instead of them attempting to really antagonize me by
4 trying to alienate me and stuff like that, I don't know, maybe
5 say she can't really do this right now, but, yes, I am in.

6 THE COURT: I've got to interrupt you again because I
7 really -- you're talking so fast, I can't make out what you're
8 saying and the court reporter cannot make out what you're
9 saying.

10 MS. WILLIS: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Please slow it down.

12 MS. WILLIS: I will.

13 THE COURT: This is why I hate phone conferences.

14 MS. WILLIS: I will. I'm sorry.

15 THE COURT: This is why I hate phone conferences.

16 And I understand you had something come up and couldn't be here
17 in person, but I have to really beseech you to slow it down.

18 MS. WILLIS: Thank you. I'll slow it down right now.

19 The bottom line is, your Honor, at this stage, prior
20 to your Honor ordering briefing as to whether or not I am an
21 Intervenor at all or whether or not I am in as a matter of
22 right or -- you know, that's down the road. We're going to
23 have to brief that. But, for now, for purposes of the
24 settlement, they cannot escape that I'm a party and they cannot
25 do the settlement. And Judge Smith made it clear to them, as

201952cantc
1 the magistrate, some time ago that, really, I have to be
2 involved.

3 Now, the bottom line is I would ask that they simply
4 not antagonize me right now with this. I'm making, you know, a
5 statement to the Court that, look, I would love to go along
6 with it. I can't wait to talk to Jonathan and also Mr. Levy
7 when this is over so that I can see what's happening. And I'll
8 likely go along with it as long as it's not going to harm me.
9 But for them to attempt to make this settlement now and say,
10 well, look, we can settle without the Intervenor right now, I
11 think Judge Smith is going to disagree with that, and I believe
12 ultimately your Honor will disagree with that at this stage.

13 THE COURT: Well, look, I think Judge Smith was doing
14 what she's paid to do, which is try to resolve the entire case.

15 Ms. Matz is correct that there's no barrier to
16 individual parties resolving less than all the claims in the
17 case or making peace with less than all the defendants in the
18 case. However, to the extent they're doing that without
19 Ms. Willis being part of the agreement, they may find
20 themselves still battling with her.

21 MR. LEVY: Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Let me just finish my thought.

23 It may turn out that I don't agree that the
24 settlement between the plaintiff and the defendant means that
25 Ms. Willis has to go away and start a new case, or it may be,

1 even if I do agree, that she will go out and start a new case.

2 I have to imagine, and I'm sure someone will correct
3 me if I'm wrong, that neither Can't Stop or Sixuvus would mind
4 if they could wrap things up with Ms. Willis as well.

5 Am I right about that?

6 MR. LEVY: Well, yes, but the track record's not too
7 good on that, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: I get it.

9 MR. LEVY: Talk to Magistrate Judge Smith.

10 MR. ADELMAN: I just want to add --

11 MR. LEVY: Just --

12 MR. ADELMAN: Oh, sorry. Sorry, Stewart. Go ahead.

13 MR. LEVY: The issue here -- and I appreciate the
14 District Court's at a disadvantage because you don't have the
15 settlement proposal, but the key is the continuing
16 jurisdiction. And if you look at the underlying complaint, we
17 had two claims. One was for declaratory judgment that we owned
18 the trademarks and the other was that there were certain
19 infringements. Well, those infringement were two years down
20 the road. They were mooted out. We won the preliminary
21 injunction hearing. We would rather not retry it again at a
22 trial. So, from our point of view, there's nothing left in our
23 complaint. It's over with.

24 From their point of view, from Sixuvus' point of
25 view, while they had a lot of claims, the claims that really

201952cantc

1 affected us was they were contesting our trademark rights for
2 live performances, which we had a fight, and that protected our
3 licensee, Ms. Willis. The settlement deals with that and puts
4 into place continuing jurisdiction if there's ever a problem.

5 The reason we think we can settle without
6 antagonizing Ms. Willis -- I understand Ms. Willis has already
7 written a letter to my client asking that I be fired. So this
8 is what we're up against, letters to my client saying I should
9 be fired. He's not firing me. The issue here is what
10 obligation does a licensor have to a licensee.

11 Now, when a licensor of a trademark issues a license,
12 I'll agree it's implicit that the trademark should be
13 protected, but it's not carte blanche for the licensee, on
14 anything that bothers the licensee, to go to the licensor and
15 say, well, I don't care what it costs, I don't care if you have
16 to spend a hundred million dollars, I want you to go after
17 this, and if you don't, you're not protecting me.

18 Well, the settlement that we've reached says, hey, we
19 own the trademark. They acknowledge we own the trademark. If
20 there's any dispute, we go to the magistrate judge on the
21 doctrine of nominative fair use. If the magistrate judge
22 thinks it's okay, it's fine; if not, they'll tell them to stop
23 it. We're protecting the mark. But what we want out of the
24 case, frankly, is their allegations, they may be valid, they
25 may not be, but that we're not interested in, like are there

201952cantc

1 offshoots of the trademark that they can't use. Like Kings of
2 Disco. We have no interest in Kings of Disco. It's not our
3 trademark.

4 Ms. Willis feels that there are a number of trademark
5 rights, and one of her allegations is to go after them for
6 using it. Well, my client is sitting as licensor, saying, now,
7 wait a second. We'll protect the Village People marks, but not
8 this other thing. And, oh, there's one concert somewhere in
9 Germany on New Year's Eve, one group out of many on a first
10 night thing. It's not worth it to us to sit there and bring
11 lawsuits against the German promoter. She wants to sue the
12 Pennsylvania Horticultural Society, the Texas State Fair. At a
13 certain point, we're the licensor and we don't have an
14 obligation to just be dragged into all these things.

15 What we're saying is we're protecting the mark. We
16 got continuing jurisdiction. We got Sixxvus to acknowledge
17 it's our mark. We're doing everything we reasonably can. At a
18 certain point, though, it's enough.

19 And I'm sorry if you're antagonized by it,
20 Ms. Willis, but it is enough.

21 MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, your Honor, first of all, I
22 haven't a clue what Mr. Levy is talking about here. Again, I
23 have to talk to Jonathan Belolo or both of them or even
24 Mr. Levy and Bob Besser. So, look, all of this talk and this
25 information that Mr. Levy here is presenting to the Court

201952cantc
1 today, in my mind, is totally irrelevant.

2 I'm not opposed to the settlement because I have no
3 idea what's in it. You know, he shouldn't attempt to
4 presuppose that I'm going to be saying, oh, the history. Well,
5 no, the history is that they -- you sued the Sixuvus the and
6 Sixuvus have sued you. And then I have attempted to bring
7 claims that have not been brought yet, cannot be litigated yet,
8 because of the fact that we stopped for a settlement.

9 So, look, what I would suggest, your Honor, is that I
10 have an opportunity to discuss this with Mr. Belolo and
11 Mr. Levy and Besser, whomever, and then we can take it from
12 here. And maybe we can continue the hearing. Because this is
13 all new and I haven't a clue what's happening. It's not fair
14 to me.

15 THE COURT: Well, this is what I think.

16 First of all, if -- again, I'm not committing to
17 anything. I never do until I see briefing. But I find it
18 unlikely that I'm going to allow Ms. Willis to pursue claims in
19 this case against Facebook or the Pennsylvania Horticultural
20 Society or the German TV show or the agents and all that. My
21 feeling is that if the intervention motion goes her way, it's
22 going to be just on the dispute between Ms. Willis and Sixuvus.
23 The parties can certainly -- the plaintiff and the defendant
24 can certainly settle. They need me to go along with the part
25 of the settlement that requires court supervision. I'm sure

1 I'll be fine with the terms of the settlement. That's not
2 really my concern. If the parties are happy, I'm happy. The
3 only concern I have is whether approving it would work some
4 unfairness to the Intervenor. And the only reason I have that
5 concern is because the reason that her status is still up in
6 the air is essentially because, with everybody's agreement, I
7 punted the briefing on that issue.

8 So I think two things -- three things should happen.
9 One is, as Ms. Willis just suggested, she should talk to the
10 gentleman in France. I assume they've agreed to that and
11 they've agreed that Ms. Willis need not go through Mr. Levy.

12 MR. LEVY: That's fine.

13 THE COURT: She should speak to Mr. Levy, if she's so
14 advised, and see if this settlement is something that she wants
15 to sign onto. It sounds like it's very different from the
16 settlement that was before Judge Smith, which had all these
17 conditions in it about the Facebook and the likes on Facebook
18 and how many minutes in which performance can be in which
19 costumes. It sounds like this is a much more general thing
20 which essentially leaves Judge Smith as the arbitrator if the
21 licensor thinks that the defendants are not respecting its
22 mark.

23 MR. ADELMAN: And vice versa, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: And vice versa.

25 So I don't know, Ms. Willis, if that sort of thing is

going to satisfy you, something that general, without the specifics. I don't know if the whole controversy over the websites and what comes up when you put Village People into Google or Facebook, I don't know if all that's calmed down or not.

But I agree it is a good idea for Ms. Willis to speak to the principals of Can't Stop and see if they can -- if maybe, with their help, Ms. Willis and Sixuvus can make peace. If not, the plaintiff and the defendant will submit the settlement agreement to me for approval, and what I'll want to know is their positions on what effect, if any, this is going to have on the motion to intervene, and then I'll let Ms. Willis respond to that.

MS. WILLIS: Your Honor, before we --

THE COURT: Just one second, just one second.

MS. WILLIS: I'm sorry, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: And one could say that we could do this in a different order, which is brief the motion to intervene and then I'll consider the settlement, but it sounds like the settlement could be papered a lot more quickly than the motion could be briefed. But I don't want to approve the settlement without knowing whether or not, by approving it, I'm boxing out Ms. Willis because, as I said, I am reluctant to do that just because I punted the briefing on her status.

Now, the other thing that could happen, and this may

not be what defendants had in mind, the other thing that could happen is the defendants could agree they'll bury the hatchet with plaintiff and then brief the intervention motion and they won't take the position that the settlement between the plaintiffs and the defendants moots it. But if that is their position, I'm going to want to know that and figure out if I agree with it. And if I agree with it, I may sit on the settlement approval until I decide the motion to intervene. And if I do that, then I assume the defendants will want to brief that on a pretty short string because they're going to want to get their settlement with the plaintiff resolved. So there's a number of moving parts here.

Am I right that the plaintiff and the defendants would rather submit the settlement before full briefing on the motion to intervene?

MR. ADELMAN: Yes, your Honor.

MR. LEVY: I agree.

MR. ADELMAN: We would also, actually, like to submit, and we can do it in less than three pages, our thought process on the Intervenor and why we believe that the punting of the intervenor motion was irrelevant in this case.

THE COURT: Well, when you give me the settlement, give me whatever you want to say. You guys should give me whatever you want to say about why I should go ahead and approve it regardless of its effect on Ms. Willis, and then

201952cantc

1 I'll let Ms. Willis respond. And that should include, first of
2 all, whatever history I don't remember, but, second, either
3 whatever authority you have for the proposition that the
4 settlement between the plaintiff and the defendant leaves
5 nothing for Ms. Willis to intervene in or, even if it does, why
6 I should still go ahead and approve the settlement.

7 MR. ADELMAN: We don't --

8 MS. WILLIS: So, your Honor, the -- may I, your
9 Honor?

10 THE COURT: Yes.

11 MS. WILLIS: Okay.

12 So, again, I'm simply eager to find out what's in the
13 settlement. And they may be surprised that I will likely go
14 along with it. I don't know. Let's see. But, your Honor,
15 here's the problem, and I think this is what maybe the
16 defendants are missing here, and maybe even Mr. Levy here, is
17 that the relationship between the Willises, the Belolos and the
18 Moraleses is sort of a very close-knit group here and it's
19 beyond our -- my business with Can't Stop and Scorpio goes well
20 beyond the Village People licensing. That's just a very small
21 part. Okay? We deal with everything from lights to the
22 Village People to movies. I mean, we just have a lot of other
23 business. And so, therefore, Jonathan and I and the Belolos,
24 we're always talking because we're not going to allow one
25 aspect of the business to interfere with something else that

201952cantc

1 can be much bigger. So, therefore, that's why I need time to
2 talk to Mr. Belolo about this to see whether or not I agree,
3 because, again, Village People licensing is a very small
4 percentage of the business relationship that I have with Can't
5 Stop and Scorpio, which is much bigger than this.

6 THE COURT: So maybe it makes sense, Ms. Willis, for
7 you, even if you could continue to fight this war, if I'm
8 reading between the lines, what you're saying is you may, in
9 the interest of the larger relationship, want to just put this
10 case behind you. I think that's a good idea. I think you
11 absolutely should have a conversation.

12 I'm not going to do anything until, A, I get the
13 proposed settlement from the plaintiff and the defendants along
14 with their views on why I should -- or I guess, from their
15 point, why I should go ahead and approve it whether you're in
16 it or not, and I'm not going to decide anything until you've
17 had a chance to respond to that.

18 MS. WILLIS: Thank you, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: So there's time for you to speak to the
20 Belolos and your other associates. And if you can get on board
21 with this deal either because you think it's a good deal or
22 because you think, in light of everything else you've got going
23 on, it makes sense to just put this case behind you, great, and
24 if not --

25 MS. WILLIS: Absolutely.

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 MS. WILLIS: Absolutely, your Honor. I agree.

3 Because that's the bottom line and that's what I'm getting at.

4 Because we -- I'm haggling right now. We're haggling over

5 everything from movie rights to Broadway. It's not just --

6 they may think that -- the defendants here may think that, oh,

7 that's all Karen Willis is interested in, the Village People.

8 Are you kidding? There's much more business going on here that

9 I'm dealing with. But -- so, therefore, again, I'm delighted

10 to hear it. Let's see what it contains. I'm hoping to go

11 along with it. And I'll leave it at that.

12 THE COURT: Mr. Adelman.

13 MR. ADELMAN: I just wanted to clarify that the views

14 that we wanted to put forth are not our briefing of the

15 opposition of the Intervenor motion.

16 THE COURT: Right. What I want along with the

17 proposed settlement agreement is whatever you want to tell me

18 on why you think I should approve it without having resolved

19 the Intervenor motion.

20 MR. ADELMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: And whether I do that or not, you'll have

22 an opportunity to brief the motion to intervene. But I imagine

23 part of the appeal to you if Ms. Willis were to go along is

24 that you wouldn't have to deal with her motion to intervene.

25 And this wouldn't be the first time -- in fact, it's quite

1 common that even parties who think they're right decide to
2 settle because it's just costing too much time and energy to
3 fight. And if we're at that point, that's not the worst thing.

4 So I'm not setting a deadline. Whenever plaintiff
5 and defendants are ready to submit the settlement, they should
6 submit it along with the -- I'll call it the position letter
7 that I've asked for. And when that arrives, Ms. Willis should
8 respond to it let's say within two weeks.

9 MS. WILLIS: Okay.

10 THE COURT: And we'll take it from there.

11 But, in the meantime, I'm glad to hear that you'll be
12 talking. And I certainly hope that you can all get on board
13 even if it's not the kind of settlement that was on the table
14 before Judge Smith. Maybe there's some virtue in the
15 simplicity.

16 And I think, at this point -- well, I'll put it this
17 way. I know Ms. Willis, at some point, wasn't sure Judge Smith
18 was the best arbiter here, but maybe now, since she's had such
19 success on the most recent motion, she would be content with
20 Judge Smith maintaining jurisdiction.

21 And again, maybe it will be something that, in
22 Ms. Willis' universe of business dealings, has now become such
23 a pest, this case has become such a pest, that she just wants
24 to make it go away to focus on bigger and better things.

25 MS. WILLIS: Your Honor is very close to being

1 correct there. I have bigger fish to fry. There's just so
2 much going on that they haven't a clue. It's not just this.
3 But this is a very important part of it. This is my husband's
4 legacy. You know, he co-wrote all these hits, the music, he's
5 the actual singer. So there's just a lot going on, and I want
6 to see it resolved. However, I would like to see it resolved
7 in a way that is not infringing upon any of my rights. So
8 let's see what happens here.

9 THE COURT: All right. We have a plan for going
10 forward.

11 MR. ADELMAN: One thing, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: One more thing?

13 MR. ADELMAN: Yes. Administration-wise.

14 We would prefer that the draft of the settlement
15 agreement not be posted on ECF.

16 THE COURT: You can just e-mail it.

17 MR. ADELMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

18 But we should post the position letter or -- we're
19 fine with that.

20 THE COURT: That makes sense, I think.

21 MR. ADELMAN: Okay. That was all. Thank you, your
22 Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you all.

24 MS. MATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

25 - - - -